IN	THE	UNITED	STATES	DISTRI	CT COURT	FOR
	TH	E MIDDL	E DISTR	ICT OF	ALABAMA	

		722 707	17 4.4:3;
	Aphine and pointiff(s)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Cynth Fran Olex	ua Who k ATBn Amoon	eler (aptain) civil action no. 20 (To be supplied by Cler u.s. District court) nu(co 1)	5 cv 99/ k of
vour c	onstitut the name	(s) who violated) ional rights.) s of all the)	
_	. Have deal	LAWSUITS you begun other lawsuits in state or federal ing with the same or similar facts involved i on? YES () NO ()	court n this
В	. Have	you begun other lawsuits in state or federalating to your imprisonment? YES () NO ($oldsymbol{ u}$	court
C	in t desc	your answer to A or B is yes, describe each lathe space below. (If there is more than one laribe the additional lawsuits on another piece er, using the same outline.)	.awsuit,
	1.	Parties to this previous lawsuit:	
		Plaintiff(s)	
		Defendant(s)	
	2.		if

	3.	Docket number	
٠	4.	Name of judge to whom case was assigned	
	5 .	Disposition (for example: Was the case dismissed? Was it appealed? Is it still pending?)	
-	6.	Approximate date of filing lawsuit	
	7.	Approximate date of disposition	
II.		PRESENT CONFINEMENT TUTW'LER PRISON FOR	
	upmer)	
	PLACE OF	INSTITUTION WHERE INCIDENT OCCURRED	
	-tulia	Tutuler Prison For Women	
III.	CONSTITUT	ADDRESS OF INDIVIDUAL(S) YOU ALLEGE VIOLATED YOUR FIONAL RIGHTS. NAME ADDRESS	
	1. Fran	1K AlBright 8966 U.S. Hwy 231 Wetumpka, D13609	2
	and the second second	hia Wheeler 8966 U.S. Hwy 231 Wetringka, 101 36092	
	3. Hu	Ambomu 8966 U.S. Hwy Dzi Wetumpka, 101 3600	
	4.		
•	5.		
	6.		
IV.		upon which said violation occurred 9-17-05	
	9-21-00		
v.	STATE BRITHAT YOU	IEFLY THE GROUNDS ON WHICH YOU BASE YOUR ALLEGATION R CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS ARE BEING VIOLATED:	
	GROUND O	NE: Being Severally Appaulted	٠

STATE BRIEFLY THE FACTS WHICH SUPPORT THIS GROUND. (State as best you can the time, place and manner and person involved.)	
COI Alwamowmoo sourcely associated ne in dointe	
which is the recieving down on 9-17-03. et reported it	
& rape kit was done on me but with him beingthe	
Support no Draws done on him to see if my	
OKIA Blood, or body bluedo was under his nowle.	
GROUND TWO: Using polograph as remidence to pay	
the sevial assault didn't tappin.	
SUPPORTING FACTS: Polograph that are not accurate	
: investigation shouldn't have been based on the	
hearets of polograph. Plus the suspect is on	
probation	
	·
GROUND THREE: We insquested another polograph	
d houston have kit Dr Williams roted, my ragina	ł
SUPPORTING GACTS: Dr Williams roted, my ragina	
was swallen implaned very itender and	
Done form of damage was done so want the	
investigation ito be reconsidered and see claw	
remoncement investigator about it.	

VI. STATE BRIEFLY EXACTLY WHAT YOU WANT THE COURT TO DO FOR YOU. MAKE NO LEGAL ARGUMENT. CITE NO CASES OR STATUTES.

Order for me not ito be detained in signingation or restriction down put in general population because they only used a polygraph as remidence and did no DHA on Alex Amorms.

ignature of plaintiff(s)

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

EXECUTED on Oct 1, 2005

Signature of plaintiff(s)